1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
15.	Maharashtra	43	266	26	259	32	310
16.	Manipur	0	0	0	0	0	0
17.	Meghalaya	0	0	0	0	0	0
18.	Mizoram	0	0	0	0	0	0
19.	Nagaland	0	0	0	0	0	0
20.	Orissa	0	603	0	733	0	843
21.	Punjab	1	51	0	61	1	72
22.	Rajasthan	0	1146	0	726	1	506
23.	Sikkim	0	0	0	0	0	0
24.	Tamil Nadu	202	782	71	698	12	839
25.	Tripura	0	0	0	0	0	2
26.	Uttar Pradesh	52	1128	35	1264	28	1623
27	Uttaranchal	0	67	0	115	0	50
28.	West Bengal	0	2	0	6	0	8
	Total (States)	655	9375	355	10062	440	9757
29.	A&N Islands	0	0	0	0	0	0
30	Chandigarh	0	0	0	0	0	0
31	D&N Haveli	0	0	0	0	0	0
32	Daman & Diu	0	0	0	0	0	0
33.	Delhi	0	12	0	4	1	21
34.	Lakshadweep	0	0	0	0	0	0
35.	Pondicherry	16	1	20	0	12	2
	Total (UTS)	16	13	20	4	13	23
	Total (All-India)	**671**	**9388**	**375**	**10066**	**453**	**9780**

Source: Crime in India data.

Restriction on movement of 12th Tai Situpa of Tibet

*128. SHRI NABAM REBIA: Will the Minister of HOME AFFAIRS be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that certain restrictions on the movement of His Eminence the 12th Tai Situpa of Kagyu lineage of the Tibetan Buddhism in India and abroad have been imposed by Government;

(b) whether any recognized political/social/religious forum has approached Government for lifting such restrictions to enable him to perform his religious/spiritual duties;

(c) whether Government are aware that such restrictions on the movement of a highly learned scholar and internationally recognized

profound teacher of Dharma is resented by thousands of his followers in India and abroad; and

(d) specific reasons for such restrictions?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS (SHRI S. REGUPATHY): (a) to (d) Certain restrictions on movement of Tai Situ Rimpoche were imposed keeping in view violations of relevant instructions pertaining to his status as a Tibetan refugee, possible repercussions on law and order situation in some areas etc. Requests received in this regard have been considered on this basis.

Uniform rates for cable subscribers

*129. SHRI NANDI YELLAIAH: Will the Minister of INFORMATION AND BROADCASTING be pleased to state:

(a) whether his Ministry has received any proposal from the Government of Andhra Pradesh to fix uniform rate to be charged from the customers by cable operators in the metros, district headquarters and rural areas, to fix the entertainment tax to be charged on cable operators and to set up a competent authority for collecting entertainment tax;

(b) if so, the response of the Ministry thereto; and

(c) the details of steps taken on the above said proposal?

THE MINISTER OF INFORMATION AND BROADCASTING (SHRI PRIYA RANJAN DASMUNSI): (a) Yes, Sir. Refereaces have been received from Andhra Pradesh Government. The recent reference is a letter from Additional Chief Secretary, Government of Andhra Pradesh dated 2.2.2007.

(b) Vide notification dated 9.1.2004 Telecom Regulatory Authority of India (TRAI) has been declared as the regulator for Broadcasting and cable services. Section-11 of the TRAI Act, 1997 empowers TRAI to issue regulations on Tariff related issues amongst others. TRAI has since issued tariff orders from time to time to regulate Tariffs in Conditional Access System (CAS) notified areas of Delhi, Mumbai, Kolkata and Chennai as also the Non-CAS areas. The Tariff Orders are available on the TRAI website www.trai.gov.in. As the rates are already